

COOLEY LLP  
JOHN C. DWYER (136533)  
(dwyerjc@cooley.com)  
TIJANA M. BRIEN (286590)  
(tbrien@cooley.com)  
3175 Hanover Street  
Palo Alto, California 94304-1130  
Telephone: +1 650 843 5000  
Facsimile: +1 650 849 7400

Attorneys for Defendants  
FibroGen, Inc., Enrique Conterno, James Schoeneck,  
Mark Eisner, and Pat Cotroneo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**IN RE FIBROGEN, INC., SECURITIES  
LITIGATION**

Case No. 3:21-cv-02623-EMC

## **CLASS ACTION**

**JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR  
DEFENDANTS' ANSWER**

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1(a), Defendants FibroGen, Inc. (“FibroGen”), Enrique Conterno, James Schoeneck, K. Peony Yu, Mark Eisner, and Pat Cotroneo (“Individual Defendants,” and collectively with FibroGen, “Defendants”), along with Lead Plaintiffs the Employees’ Retirement System of the City of Baltimore, the City of Philadelphia Board of Pensions and Retirement, and the Plymouth County Retirement Association (“Lead Plaintiffs,” and, collectively with Defendants, the “Parties”), stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, on November 19, 2021, Lead Plaintiffs filed the operative complaint in this Action (the “Complaint”) (*see* Dkt. No. 97);

WHEREAS, on January 14, 2022, Defendants filed Motions to Dismiss the Complaint (*see* Dkt. Nos. 107, 109);

WHEREAS, on July 15, 2022, the Court denied the Motions to Dismiss (*see* Dkt. No. 126);

WHEREAS, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(4), Defendants' responsive pleading is due

1 to be served by July 29, 2022, 14 days after the Court's denial of Defendants' Motions to Dismiss;

2 WHEREAS, the Complaint is 120 pages long, includes 297 numbered paragraphs of  
3 allegations, challenging 96 statements, and asserting two causes of action (violations of Sections  
4 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) against six defendants;

5 WHEREAS, counsel for Defendants and for Lead Plaintiffs have met and conferred and, at  
6 the request of counsel for Defendants, agreed that Defendants shall have 60 days to answer the  
7 Complaint;

8 WHEREAS, the Parties agree that this Joint Stipulation regarding the timeframe for  
9 Defendants to answer the Complaint shall not modify, extend, or otherwise impact the case  
10 schedule or any other discovery deadline in this Action;

11

12 **NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE** as follows:

13 1. Defendants shall answer the Complaint by September 13, 2022.

14 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

15

16 Dated: July 21, 2022

COOLEY LLP

17

18 By: /s/ Tijana M. Brien

John C. Dwyer  
dwyerjc@cooley.com  
Tijana M. Brien  
tbrien@cooley.com  
3175 Hanover Street  
Palo Alto, California 94304-1130  
Telephone: +1 650 843 5000  
Facsimile: +1 650 849 7400

23 Attorneys for Defendants  
24 FibroGen, Inc., Enrique Conterno, James  
Schoeneck, Mark Eisner, and Pat Cotroneo

1 Dated: July 21, 2022

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN  
LLP

3 By: /s/ Bruce A. Ericson

4 Bruce A. Ericson  
5 bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com  
6 Four Embarcadero Center, 22nd Floor  
7 San Francisco, California 94111-5998  
8 Telephone: +1.415.983.1560  
9 Facsimile: +1.415.983.1200

Attorneys for Defendant  
K. Peony Yu

10 Dated: July 21, 2022

SAXENA WHITE P.A.

11 By: /s/ Lester R. Hooker

12 Maya Saxena (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
13 msaxena@saxenawhite.com  
14 Lester R. Hooker (SBN 241590)  
15 lhooker@saxenawhite.com  
16 Dianne M. Pitre (SBN 286199)  
17 dpitre@saxenawhite.com  
18 7777 Glades Road, Suite 300  
19 Boca Raton, FL 33434  
20 Tel.: 561.394.3399  
21 Fax: 561.394.3382

22 David R. Kaplan (SBN 230144)  
23 dkaplan@saxenawhite.com  
24 12750 High Bluff Drive, Suite 475  
25 San Diego, California 92130  
26 Telephone: (858) 997-0860  
27 Facsimile: (858) 369-0096

28 *Counsel for Lead Plaintiffs Employees' Retirement System of the City of Baltimore, City of Philadelphia Board of Pensions and Retirement, and Plymouth County Retirement Association and Lead Counsel for the Class*

1                   **ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO CIV. L.R. 5-1(i)(3)**

2                   Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has  
3 been obtained from the signatories.

4                   Dated: July 21, 2022

5                   */s/ Tijana M. Brien*  
6                   Tijana M. Brien

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER  
2  
3

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, AND FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, THE COURT  
ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

4 1. Defendants shall be permitted to answer the Complaint by September 13, 2022.  
5  
6

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

7 The Honorable Edward M. Chen  
8 United States District Judge  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28